M A R I N E

Electrical Digital Twin Architecture for Marine DC Systems

System-Level Validation for Safety-Critical Vessel Electrical Design

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Marine DC electrical systems operate in one of the harshest environments for low-voltage engineering. Salt atmosphere, continuous vibration, long cable runs, mixed AC/DC integration, and safety-critical circuits create a design environment where traditional spreadsheet-based validation is structurally inadequate.

SCOPE OF APPLICABILITY

This publication describes an architectural framework applied to marine DC electrical systems. It does not constitute engineering advice, regulatory guidance, or certification documentation. Application must be performed by qualified marine electrical professionals in accordance with applicable codes and standards.

COMPLIANCE AND SAFETY NOTICE

This publication is provided for informational purposes only and does not provide engineering advice, installation instructions, or certification evidence. It does not guarantee compliance with ABYC, ISO, IEC, USCG, flag-state rules, or classification society requirements. Compliance determinations remain the responsibility of qualified professionals and the relevant authority.

Electrical failures on vessels can create safety hazards. Any implementation must be independently reviewed, tested, and validated.

No representation is made that use of this architecture ensures safety, regulatory compliance, or fitness for a particular purpose. Readers shall not rely on this document as a substitute for independent engineering analysis, regulatory consultation, or professional design review. Use of the architectural concepts described herein remains at the sole risk of the implementer.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranties of any kind. LoomLab (Neuronetiq Ltd) disclaims liability for any damages arising from use or reliance on this publication.

This paper focuses on DC topology; AC integration is treated as an interface context rather than a full AC power-flow analysis.

MARINE ELECTRICAL CHALLENGE

Marine DC electrical systems operate in one of the harshest environments for low-voltage engineering.

Voltage stability and protection integrity are not optional features in marine electrical design. They are safety requirements. A failure in a bilge pump circuit, a navigation system, or a fire suppression relay is not an inconvenience. It is a hazard.

Yet the tools available to marine electrical engineers remain fragmented: schematics in one application, wire sizing in a spreadsheet, fuse selection from a catalog, and validation by manual review. No persistent model ties these decisions together. No system tracks how they evolve over the life of a vessel.

This paper applies the Electrical Digital Twin Architecture to marine DC systems, demonstrating how graph-based, constraint-driven modeling addresses the specific challenges of vessel electrical engineering.

1. THE MARINE ELECTRICAL ENVIRONMENT

Marine electrical systems present structural complexity not found in most land-based installations. Understanding these constraints is essential to understanding why system-level modeling is necessary.

1.1 Long Run Lengths

Marine vessels concentrate power generation and storage in specific locations while distributing loads throughout the vessel:

- Batteries typically located in engine compartment or aft lazarette

- Main distribution panels at helm station or navigation area
- Bilge pumps at lowest points throughout hull
- Windlass at bow, often tens of meters (routing length) from battery bank
- Bow thrusters requiring high-current feeds at maximum distance

These distances create inherent voltage drop risk. As an illustrative scenario, a 12V system feeding a high-current bow thruster through tens of meters of one-way routing (double for round-trip) experiences voltage losses that can prevent reliable operation under load. Exact performance depends on conductor size, terminations, battery internal resistance, and duty cycle. This is not a calculation that can be performed in isolation. The conductor may serve other circuits. The battery bank has internal resistance. The connections introduce additional losses.

System-level evaluation is required.

1.2 Multi-Bank Architectures

Modern marine vessels commonly maintain multiple battery banks:

House bank	Domestic loads, electronics, lighting
Start bank	Engine cranking, isolated for reliability
Thruster bank	Dedicated high-current storage
Electronics bank	Sensitive navigation and communication equipment

Each bank requires:

- Independent protection paths
- Dedicated or shared charging circuits
- Cross-connect switching for emergency use
- Voltage monitoring at the bank and at critical loads

A netlist records that these banks exist. A graph-based model captures the power flow topology, the protection hierarchy, and the constraint relationships between them.

1.3 Charging System Integration

Marine charging architectures are increasingly complex:

Alternators	Engine-driven, variable output
Shore chargers	AC-to-DC conversion with isolation
Solar controllers	MPPT or PWM with battery management
DC-DC chargers	Cross-bank charging with isolation
Inverter/chargers	Bidirectional AC/DC with pass-through

Each charging source interacts with the DC bus. Combined charging current flows through shared busbars and distribution conductors. The aggregate current determines:

- Busbar sizing requirements
- Fuse ratings on charging circuits
- Conductor sizing on shared paths
- Thermal loading in bundled harness segments

Evaluating these interactions requires graph-level modeling of power flow paths, not isolated circuit analysis.

1.4 Environmental Factors

Marine environments impose:

- Salt atmosphere accelerating corrosion at connections
- Continuous vibration from propulsion and wave action
- High humidity affecting insulation performance

- Elevated temperatures in engine compartments, including localized hot zones near machinery
- UV exposure on deck-run cables

These factors affect conductor derating, connection reliability, and insulation service life. A comprehensive model accounts for environmental context, not just electrical parameters.

2. WHY GRAPH MODELING IS CRITICAL IN MARINE SYSTEMS

Traditional schematic-only design fails to model several critical aspects of marine electrical topology.

2.1 Limitations of Flat Schematics

Conventional schematics do not adequately represent:

Shared grounds	Marine DC systems use the vessel ground bus as a return path. Multiple circuits share ground conductors. Current flow in shared grounds affects voltage at every connected load.
Busbar topology	A busbar is not a simple node. It has physical dimensions, connection points, current capacity, and thermal characteristics. Overloading a busbar affects every circuit connected to it.
Parallel battery paths	Battery banks connected in parallel create complex current flow patterns during charging and discharging. Imbalanced paths cause uneven aging and potential safety hazards.
Cross-bank emergency switches	Emergency switches that connect otherwise isolated banks create temporary topology changes that must be validated.

2.2 What Graph Modeling Captures

A graph-based architecture captures:

Directed energy flow	Current flow direction through every conductor and connection point.
Node-bound constraints	Maximum current, voltage limits, and thermal ratings at each connection point.
Edge-bound constraints	Voltage drop, ampacity, and insulation ratings along each conductor segment.
Bundle-level constraints	Thermal derating, fill ratio, and current aggregation across grouped conductors.

2.3 Circuit Classification

Marine systems frequently apply different voltage drop limits based on circuit classification:

Critical circuits (3% maximum drop)	Bilge pumps, navigation lights, fire suppression, communication equipment
General circuits (10% maximum drop)	Cabin lighting, entertainment, non-critical accessories

A graph-based digital twin enables constraint evaluation by circuit classification, applying appropriate limits to each circuit based on its safety criticality.

3. STANDARDS-ALIGNED CONSTRAINT MODELING

Marine electrical compliance is complex. Multiple standards may apply depending on vessel flag state, classification society, and intended use.

3.1 ABYC E-11 Contextual Layering

The American Boat and Yacht Council standard E-11 (AC and DC Electrical Systems on Boats) provides comprehensive guidance for marine electrical installations. The architecture supports:

Profile-based constraint rules	Constraint layers aligned with ABYC E-11 guidance for conductor sizing, protection, and installation practices.
Circuit classification flags	Critical vs. non-critical circuit flags that determine applicable voltage drop limits and protection requirements.
Continuous load handling	Continuous-duty circuits are evaluated using conservative continuous-load conventions (sustained-duty derating and protection sizing practices), parameterized within the constraint profile. Exact parameters depend on device type, installation method, and the applicable standard.
Engine compartment derating	Conductors routed through engine spaces must be derated for elevated ambient temperature. The constraint profile applies appropriate derating factors.

3.2 International Standards

Beyond ABYC, the architecture supports constraint profiles for:

IEC 60092	Electrical Installations in Ships
ISO 13297	Small Craft Electrical Systems
Lloyd's Register	Classification society rules
DNV	Det Norske Veritas classification rules

3.3 Standards as Living Profiles

When standards are revised, a new constraint profile version is created. Historical validations retain their original profile, maintaining audit integrity.

A vessel validated against ABYC E-11 (2018) retains that validation record. Re-validation against ABYC E-11 (2023) produces a new validation state. Both are preserved. Both are auditable.

4. THERMAL AND BUNDLING RISK

Engine rooms and machinery spaces concentrate conditions that amplify thermal risk in marine electrical systems.

4.1 The Compound Problem

Engine compartments present:

High ambient temperature	60-80C common near exhaust and engine block surfaces
Bundled conductors	Multiple circuits routed through limited conduit or loom paths
Continuous loads	Bilge pumps, engine instruments, fuel systems operating continuously

Each factor individually reduces conductor capacity. Together, they compound:

- Ampacity reductions vary by insulation class and temperature rating; derating can be substantial in elevated ambient engine-room environments.
- Bundling further reduces effective capacity depending on the number of current-carrying conductors in the group.
- Continuous loads require appropriate sizing per ABYC guidance.

4.2 Why Isolated Calculation Fails

A conductor sized correctly for its individual circuit may be undersized when:

- Routed through a bundle with other current-carrying conductors
- Passing through an engine compartment
- Carrying a continuous load

These factors interact. Evaluating them in isolation understates the actual risk.

4.3 Graph-Based Thermal Modeling

The graph architecture supports:

Bundle-aware constraint evaluation	Each conductor's ampacity is evaluated in the context of its bundle, not in isolation.
Current aggregation	Total current in a bundle is calculated from all member conductors, not estimated.
Derating modeling	Temperature and bundling derating factors are applied as constraint rules based on routing context.

This prevents undersized harness runs in confined spaces, a failure mode that is common, dangerous, and difficult to detect after installation.

5. LIFECYCLE REALITY: BOATS EVOLVE

Marine electrical systems are rarely static. The as-built configuration of a vessel's electrical system typically diverges from the as-designed configuration within the first year of operation.

5.1 Common Modifications

Vessel owners regularly add:

Solar panels	New charging source, new conductors to battery bank, new fusing requirements
Refrigeration	Continuous high-current draw, often retrofitted to existing circuits
Satellite communication **(e.g., Starlink)**	Sensitive electronics requiring clean power and dedicated protection
Autopilot systems	Motor-driven loads with surge current characteristics
Additional navigation electronics	Radar, AIS, chartplotters drawing from electronics bus
LED lighting conversions	Lower current but often retrofitted to circuits sized for incandescent loads

5.2 Cumulative Impact

Each individual modification may appear safe in isolation. The cumulative effect across a system may not be:

Load creep	Total system demand gradually exceeds original design margins.
Cable saturation	Shared conductors approach or exceed ampacity limits.
Fuse mismatch	Protection devices no longer correctly sized for actual load profiles.
Busbar overload	Distribution points accumulate current beyond rated capacity.

5.3 Versioned Graph Twin for Lifecycle Management

A versioned graph model enables:

Retrofit simulation	Model a proposed modification before installation. Evaluate system-wide impact.

Pre-install validation	Verify that the modified system meets all constraint requirements before committing to physical work.
Post-install telemetry binding	After modification, bind operational telemetry to confirm that actual behavior matches validated design.
Configuration history	Maintain a complete record of every modification, when it was made, and how it affected system validity.

6. OPERATIONAL TWIN OVERLAY

Modern marine vessels increasingly carry monitoring and telemetry systems. Battery monitors, shunt-based current sensors, and voltage monitoring are common even on recreational vessels.

6.1 Telemetry Integration

When operational data is mapped to graph entities, the digital twin enables:

Live current monitoring	Compare actual load current against design intent for each circuit.
Voltage sag detection	Identify circuits where voltage at the load is falling below acceptable limits under actual operating conditions.
Load spike tracking	Detect transient events that may indicate developing faults.
Undervoltage alarms	Alert when system voltage margins approach critical thresholds.

6.2 Design vs. Reality Comparison

The digital twin enables direct comparison:

Design intent vs. operational behavior.

Specifically:

- Is the actual current draw within the design envelope?
- Is the voltage at critical loads within specified limits?
- Are battery banks performing as modeled?
- Are charging sources delivering expected output?

6.3 Passage Planning Value

This capability is particularly valuable for:

Offshore passages	Where electrical system failure creates genuine safety risk and shore support is unavailable.
Long-distance cruising	Where cumulative system degradation may develop over weeks or months.
Expedition vessels	Where systems operate in extreme conditions far from service facilities.
Charter operations	Where vessel handover requires verified system condition documentation.

7. MARINE USE CASE: WORKED EXAMPLE

Consider a 45-foot sailing vessel with a 12V DC system:

System Parameters:

- 400Ah house battery bank (lithium)
- 200A alternator with external regulator
- 30A solar array with MPPT controller

- 40A DC-DC charger from start bank
- 50A shore charger

Loads:

- 10A automatic bilge pump (critical, continuous-rated)
- 80A electric windlass (intermittent, high surge)
- 120A inverter (peak, variable continuous)
- 15A refrigeration (continuous)
- 5A navigation electronics (continuous, sensitive)
- 8A LED lighting (intermittent)

7.1 Traditional Approach

The traditional design process:

1. Size each circuit individually using a spreadsheet.
2. Select fuses from a catalog based on individual circuit current.
3. Draw a schematic showing connectivity.
4. Generate a wire list for harness fabrication.
5. Validate by manual review.

Problems with this approach:

- Shared busbar loading is not aggregated.
- Voltage drop on shared conductors is not cumulative.
- Bundle thermal effects are not evaluated.
- No mechanism to detect system-level interactions.
- No lifecycle tracking as modifications are made.

7.2 Digital Twin Approach

The graph-based approach:

1. Model the complete topology: sources, distribution, loads, protection, grounding.
2. Validate voltage drop across every path from source to load, including shared conductor segments.
3. Validate protection device sizing against actual load profiles, including continuous load derating.
4. Validate bundle fill and thermal loading in every harness segment.
5. Lock the validated revision as an immutable record.
6. When modifications are proposed, model them against the current validated revision before installation.
7. After installation, bind telemetry to monitor actual performance.

7.3 What the Twin Reveals

The architecture enables evaluation of scenarios such as:

- Windlass operation causes voltage sag at the navigation electronics bus due to shared main positive conductor. The 3% drop limit for critical circuits may be violated during windlass operation.
- Combined charging current from alternator + solar + DC-DC charger exceeds the main positive busbar's rated capacity during simultaneous charging.
- The engine compartment harness segment carrying bilge pump, alternator output, and start motor circuits requires bundle derating that was not accounted for in individual circuit sizing.

These are system-level findings. They cannot be discovered by evaluating circuits in isolation.

8. PROTECTION COORDINATION IN MARINE SYSTEMS

Fuse and circuit breaker coordination is critical in marine systems where a single protection failure can cascade.

8.1 Coordination Principles

Proper protection requires:

Discrimination   A fault on a branch circuit should trip the branch protection device before the main distribution fuse.

Adequate interrupting   Protection devices must be rated for the

capacity   available fault current at their location.

Continuous load rating   Devices protecting continuous loads must be rated for sustained current, not just trip current.

Time-current coordination   Upstream and downstream devices must coordinate so that the device nearest the fault operates first.

8.2 Graph-Based Protection Analysis

The graph model enables:

- Protection device identification at every node in the distribution hierarchy.
- Where source/impedance data is available, fault-current estimation at protection points.
- Time-current coordination analysis across protection tiers.
- Continuous load validation for each protected circuit.

8.3 Common Marine Protection Failures

Fuse oversizing   Selecting a fuse based on wire ampacity rather than load current, masking overcurrent conditions.

Missing discrimination Main fuse and branch fuse with identical ratings, causing random tripping behavior.

Unprotected segments   Cable between battery and main fuse exceeding maximum recommended length.

Incorrect type   Using fast-blow fuses on motor circuits or slow-blow fuses on electronics.

A system-level model with protection constraints can detect these conditions during design validation.

9. GROUND SYSTEMS AND RETURN PATH INTEGRITY

Marine DC ground systems are a frequent source of problems that are invisible to traditional circuit-by-circuit analysis.

9.1 Shared Ground Paths

Most marine systems use a common ground bus. Multiple circuits share ground conductors and ground bus connections. Current flowing through shared ground paths creates voltage differences between ground reference points.

Low-level ground differentials can distort sensor reference voltage and digital communication stability in sensitive electronics, potentially causing:

- Navigation instrument errors
- Communication interference
- Sensor reading drift
- Erratic autopilot behavior

9.2 Graph-Level Ground Analysis

The graph model explicitly represents ground topology:

- Ground conductors as edges with resistance attributes

- Ground bus as a node with connection constraints and current/thermal rating
- Current flow through ground paths calculated from all connected loads
- Voltage differential between ground reference points

This enables detection of ground loop risks and shared-ground interference before installation.

10. WHY MARINE FIRST

Marine is the ideal proving ground for structured electrical digital twin architecture because marine systems are:

Constrained	Limited space, limited access, limited ability to rework after installation.
Safety-critical	Electrical failure at sea creates genuine danger to life and vessel.
High lifecycle variability	Vessels are modified repeatedly over decades of service life.
Electrically dense	Modern vessels pack significant electrical complexity into compact spaces.
Regulatory	Multiple overlapping standards and classification requirements.
Environment-hostile	Salt, vibration, temperature, and humidity stress every component and connection.

If a structured digital twin architecture can serve marine electrical engineering, it can serve any low-voltage domain.

11. CONCLUSION

Marine DC electrical systems are complex, safety-critical, and subject to continuous modification throughout a vessel's service life.

Traditional design approaches that evaluate circuits in isolation, validate by manual review, and maintain documentation in disconnected spreadsheets are structurally inadequate for this environment.

A graph-based electrical digital twin architecture provides:

System-level validation	Every circuit evaluated in the context of the complete system topology.
Lifecycle management	Every modification tracked, validated, and recorded.
Operational awareness	Design intent compared against actual performance through telemetry binding.
Standards alignment	Constraint profiles aligned with ABYC, IEC, ISO, and classification society requirements.
Safety assurance	Thermal, voltage, and protection risks detected during design, not discovered during operation.

No representation is made that use of this architecture ensures conformance with any regulatory or safety standard without independent professional verification.

Marine electrical engineering deserves better tools than spreadsheets and isolated calculations. The electrical digital twin provides the system-level intelligence that marine safety demands.

12. DEFENSIVE PUBLICATION NOTICE

The application of graph-based topology modeling, deterministic constraint evaluation, profile-based rule layering, and lifecycle-bound validation to marine DC electrical systems constitutes prior art as of the publication date of this document, including but not limited to implementations in marine, motorsport, off-grid, and industrial low-voltage domains.

This publication discloses the architectural integration of graph-based electrical topology representation, deterministic system-level constraint evaluation, immutable revision binding, marine-domain constraint profile overlays, and telemetry-to-topology lifecycle correlation in marine DC systems. Any claims attempting to patent these combinations or their lifecycle-bound integration in the marine domain are disclosed herein as prior art. This disclosure is intended to establish prior art for broad claims covering lifecycle-bound, graph-based electrical validation architectures applied to vessel DC systems.

Implementation details, computational methods, optimization techniques, data schemas, and proprietary validation algorithms are not disclosed.

No license, express or implied, is granted by this publication under any patent, trade secret, or other intellectual property rights of Neuronetiq Ltd.

The concepts described herein are illustrative and non-exhaustive. Additional architectural variations and implementations are possible within the disclosed framework.

REFERENCES

[1] ABYC E-11, AC and DC Electrical Systems on Boats, American Boat & Yacht Council.

[2] ABYC E-30, Electrical Bonding Systems, American Boat & Yacht Council.

[3] IEC 60092, Electrical Installations in Ships, International Electrotechnical Commission.

[4] ISO 13297, Small Craft - Electrical Systems, International Organization for Standardization.

[5] ISO 10133, Small Craft - Electrical Systems - Extra-Low-Voltage DC Installations, International Organization for Standardization.

[6] Lloyd's Register Rules and Regulations for the Classification of Yachts and Small Craft.

[7] Calder, N. "Boatowner's Mechanical and Electrical Manual," 4th Edition, International Marine/McGraw-Hill.

[8] US Coast Guard 33 CFR and 46 CFR, Electrical Systems Requirements.

References are provided for context; this publication does not reproduce or interpret standards text.

PUBLICATION METADATA

For inquiries: contact@loomlab.ai

L O O M L A B

Neuronetiq Ltd

About LoomLab

LoomLab is a structured electrical engineering platform built on a graph-based digital twin architecture. It provides system-level validation, lifecycle management, and operational awareness for low-voltage electrical systems across marine, motorsport, offshore, and industrial domains.

Website: www.loomlab.ai

Contact: contact@loomlab.ai